UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/527,113	03/08/2005	Robert Peter Scholl	DE 020207	9523
24737 7590 04/23/2007 PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS P.O. BOX 3001			EXAMINER	
			RAABE, CHRISTOPHER M	
BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2879	
			·	
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE .	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MON	THS	04/23/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	i					
Office Action Summary	10/527,113	SCHOLL ET AL.				
· ·	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Christopher M. Raabe	2879				
Period-for-Reply	cars on the cover officer with the c	on espendence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 5-10 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 2-4 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or						
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 08 March 2005 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/21/05,3/8/05.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate				

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United

States.

2. Claims 1, 5-7, 9, 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by

Budinger et al. (USPN 4710679).

With regard to claim 1,

Budinger et al. disclose a low-pressure gas discharge lamp, which is equipped with a

gas discharge vessel containing an inert gas filling as the buffer gas, and with electrodes and

with means for generating and maintaining a low-pressure gas discharge (fig 1, and column 3,

lines 5-10), characterized in that it contains at least one tin halide (column 4, lines 15-20).

With regard to claim 5,

Budinger et al. disclose a low-pressure gas discharge lamp as claimed in claim 1,

characterized in that the gas pressure of the inert gas lies in the range between 1 and 5 mbar.

and is preferably around 2 mbar (column 3, lines 5-10).

With regard to claim 6,

Budinger et al. disclose a low-pressure gas discharge lamp as claimed in claim 1,

characterized in that the UV radiation emitted as a result of the discharge is converted into

visible radiation by means of suitable fluorescent materials (column 3, liens 45-55).

With regard to claim 7,

Budinger et al. disclose a low-pressure gas discharge lamp as claimed in claim 1, characterized in that the walls of the discharge device comprise quartz, Al₂O_{3,} yttrium-aluminum garnet, or similar known materials (column 2, lines 45-50).

With regard to claim 9,

Budinger et al. disclose a low-pressure gas discharge lamp as claimed in claim 1, characterized in that the internal electrodes comprise conductive materials (column 2, lines 50-60).

With regard to claim 10,

Budinger et al. disclose a low-pressure gas discharge lamp as claimed in claim 1, characterized in that it contains internal electrodes which are additionally provided with a material of low work function (column 2, lines 50-60).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any

evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

4. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Budinger et al. (as above).

With regard to claim 8,

Budinger et al. disclose a low-pressure gas discharge lamp as claimed in claim 1.

Budinger et al. do not disclose a lamp characterized in that the discharge can be excited inductively or capacitively with external electrodes and a high-frequency alternating field. However, such a lamp was well known to and widely used by those of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention and would have been obvious to the same to incorporate such a configuration into the invention of Budinger et al. in order to reduce electrode corrosion.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claims 5,9 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

A broad range or limitation together with a narrow range or limitation that falls within the broad range or limitation (in the same claim) is considered indefinite, since the resulting claim

does not clearly set forth the metes and bounds of the patent protection desired. See MPEP § 2173.05(c). Note the explanation given by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences in *Ex parte Wu*, 10 USPQ2d 2031, 2033 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1989), as to where broad language is followed by "such as" and then narrow language. The Board stated that this can render a claim indefinite by raising a question or doubt as to whether the feature introduced by such language is (a) merely exemplary of the remainder of the claim, and therefore not required, or (b) a required feature of the claims. Note also, for example, the decisions of *Ex parte Steigewald*, 131 USPQ 74 (Bd. App. 1961); *Ex parte Hall*, 83 USPQ 38 (Bd. App. 1948); and *Ex parte Hasche*, 86 USPQ 481 (Bd. App. 1949). In the present instance, claim 5 recites the recitation "between 1 and 5 mbar", and the claim also recites "preferably around 2 mbar" which appears to be intended as a narrower statement of the range/limitation.

Regarding claim 9, the phrase "for example" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitation(s) following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 2-4 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The prior art does not disclose nor make obvious, in addition to the other limitations of the claims, the molar density of the tin halides to be between 2x10⁻¹¹ and 2x10⁻⁹ mol/cc. Therefore claims 2,3 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Additionally, the prior art does not disclose nor make

Art Unit: 2879

obvious, in addition to the other limitations of the claim the specific wall temperatures set forth in claims 4. Therefore claim 4 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. USPN 6135840, 5723944, 4020377, 3958145, 6218781.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher M. Raabe whose telephone number is 571-272-8434. The examiner can normally be reached on m-f 7am-3:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nimesh Patel can be reached on 571-272-2457. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

CR

ASHOK PATEL
PRIMARY EXAMINER